: 1

TAB

## CIA INTERNAL USE UNLY

21 March 1956

MEMORANTIM FOR RECIDED

SUBJECT: Building - Use of CIA Appropriation for Survey and Acquisition of Right-of-Way for Perioway

 Mr. Nolen has advised that the MCPC now holds \$200,000 for the acquisition of right-of-way from the Arlington-Fairfax line to Route 123. He also advised us, off the record, that the MCPC is holding \$150,000 for matching by the State and County governments which will provide a total of \$300,000 for acquisition of the right-of-way north of 123 to the Leiter Estate. He has indicated, however, that he did not believe that this will be enough, in view of present property values, for asquiring all the necessary right-of-way in either of the two sections. Although we do not know what other Federal funds might be available as contributions toward this acquisition. FOIAB3B requested that I investigate the possibility of utilizing moneys appropriated to us if that becomes necessary.

- 2. Mr. Nolen also indicated that resopraisal of the land to be acquired requires first a survey, and Mr. Cheathem earlier indicated that this survey had been delayed due to a lack of funds. The estimated cost of the survey is \$25,000 to \$30,000. In our most recent meeting Mr. Molen indicated that the survey was under way. It is possible, however, that it might be further delayed due to lack of funds, in which case we might wish to consider furnishing funds from our appropriations.
- 3. I have exemined the language of P. L. 161, 84th Congress, which sutherized the construction of a CIA building, and of P. L. 219, 84th Congress, which appropriated \$5,500,000 for this purpose. Section 401 of P. L. 161 authorizes appropriations not to exceed \$54,500,000 to construct a CIA building of which not more than \$8,500,000 shall be available for transfer to the National Capital Planning Commission and the Department of the Interior for acquisition of land for and construction to extend the George Washington Memorial Periossy to the present site of the research station of the Bureau of Public Roads at Langley, Fairfux County, Virginia."

UA WILRNAL HOE DWLY

## Approved For Release 2003/03/06 : CIA-RDP58-00453R000300130147-7

SUBJECT: Building - Use of CIA Appropriation for Survey and Acquisition of Right-of-Way for Parkagy

The Act of 29 May 1930 authorizing the George Washington Memorial Parishmy provides "That no money shall be expended by the United States for lands for any unit of this project until the Hational Capitel Park and Planning Commission shall have received definite commitments from the State of Maryland or Virginia, or political subdivisions thereof or from other responsible sources for one-balf the cost of acquiring the lands in its judgment necessary for such unit of said project decemed by said commission sufficiently complete, other than lands now belonging to the United States or donated to the United States: Provided further, That no money shall be expended by the United States for the construction of said highway on the Maryland side of the Potosmo, except as part of the Pederal-aid highest program: Provided, That in the discretion of the Mational Capital Park and Planning Commission, upon agreement duly entered into by the State of Maryland or Virginia or any political subdivision thereof to reimburse the United States as bereinsfter provided, it may abrance the full amount of the funds nacessary for the acquisition of the lands and the construction of said roads in any such unit referred to in this paragraph. such agreement providing for reinbursement to the United States to the extent of one-half of the cost thereof without interest within not more than eight years from the date of any such expenditure. The suprepriation of the smount necessary for such advance, in addition to the contribution by the United States, is hereby authorised from any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated."

- 4. From a reading of the above, there seems to be no question that funds exprepriated to us within the \$3,500,000 Parkway limitation may be used for the <u>Federal</u> share of the cost of acquiring right-of-vey. It is questionable if any portion could be used for acquiring right-of-vey unless matched by State-County contributions. It would seem permissible, however, to advance the total cost of acquisition provided that there were a State-County undertaking for repayment as specified in the Statute.
- 5. Perhaps the easiest way of justifying the expenditure of our funds for a survey is to consider it as an expense of acquisition. Mr. Noten indicated in conversation that he might take the survey cost from the acquisition money which the MCPC now holds. The only difficulty with this approach is that it involves State-County contribution to the survey, reduces the joint funds available, and could result in appreciable delay in the acquisition of the necessary right-of-way.

Approved For Release 2003/03/06: CIA-RDP58-00453R000300130147-7

## CIA INTERNAL USE ONLY

SUBJECT: Building - Use of CIA Appropriation for Survey and Acquisition of Right-of-Way for Parkury

- 6. Surveys are specifically mentioned in P. L. 161, but they are there indicated to be one of the costs of acquisition. Section 501 provides that the authority granted by the Act "includes...(where)... the acquisition of right-of-way is specified...suthority to make surveys...."
- 7. The only way in which I can see a basis for providing the MCPC with acquisition funds greater than may be matched by State-County contributions would be to read Section boll as providing for the acquisition of right-of-way independently of the provisions of the Act of 29 May 1930, and I do not see how one could reasonably give it such a reading.
- 8. CONCLUSION: There is no legal objection to transferring of any portion of the \$8,500,000 that will be appropriated to us to the ECFC for the cost of right-of-way acquisition, including survey, provided that it is matched by State-County contribution. If it is decided to meet these costs or any of them without matching State-County contribution, the matter should first be submitted to the Comtroller General for a ruling.

			FOIAB3B	
	FOIAB3B	Assistent	General	Counsel.
ec:				
Distri	Ibution: Subject - new eeg file Signer			
000	25X1			

PLA INTERNAL USE ONLY